arXiv:math/0608189v1 [math.AP] 8 Aug 2006

ON THE UNIQUENESS OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF A QUASILINEAR EQUATION CONTAINING A WEIGHTED p-LAPLACIAN, THE SUPERLINEAR CASE

MARTA GARCÍA-HUIDOBRO C. AND DUVÁN A. HENAO M.

Department of Mathematics
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile
Casilla 306, Correo 22, Santiago, Chile

Abstract. We consider the problem of uniqueness of positive solutions to

$$(P) \qquad -\Delta_p u = K(|x|)f(u), \quad p > 1,$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$. Here K is a positive C^1 function defined in $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $f \in C[0,\infty)$ has one zero at $u_0 > 0$, is non positive and not identically 0 in $(0, u_0)$, and it is locally lipschitz, positive and satisfies some superlinear growth assumption in (u_0,∞).

February 2, 2008

1. Introduction and main results

The problem of *uniqueness* of radial ground states of the equation $\Delta_p u + f(u) = 0$ and of various related equations has been studied with great detail during the last decades, see for example the works of [3], [4], [5], [6], [9], [13], [18], [19], [20], [21], [23], and [24] among others. In [22], a first step in the study of the *uniqueness* of radial ground states and various qualitative properties of solutions of

$$-\operatorname{div}(A(|x|)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = B(|x|)f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n > 1, \tag{1.1}$$

where $p > 1$ and A, B are positive C^1 functions defined in $\mathbb{R}^+$, was accomplished. (A nonnegative solution of (1.1) which tends to 0 as $|x| \to \infty$ is called a ground state solution). This was done for the case of a *sublinear* f but under considerably mild assumptions on f near the origin.

Very recently, in [7] the case of $p = 2$ with a *superlinear* f in (1.1), was considered at the cost of imposing a stronger growth condition on the weight functions and a convexity condition on f. Following the ideas in [6], [7], in this paper we will establish the uniqueness of radially symmetric ground state solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} &-\Delta_p u = K(|x|)f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n > p > 1,\\ &u(x) \geq 0, \ u \not\equiv 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \lim_{|x|\to\infty} u(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J70, 35J60.

Key words and phrases. positive solutions, uniqueness, superlinear, separation.

This research was supported by FONDECYT-1030593.

as well as the uniqueness of positive solutions to

$$\begin{gathered}-\Delta_p u = K(|x|)f(u), \quad x \in B_R(0), \quad n > p > 1,\\ u(x) > 0, \quad x \in B_R(0), \quad u(x) = 0, \quad |x| = R.\end{gathered} \tag{1.3}$$

We are also able to deal with the corresponding homogeneous Dirichlet–Neumann free boundary problem

$$\begin{gathered}-\Delta_p u = K(|x|)f(u), \quad x \in B_R(0), \quad n > p > 1,\\ u(x) > 0, \quad x \in B_R(0), \quad u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_R(0),\end{gathered} \tag{1.4}$$

which can be seen as a particular case of either (1.2) (compact support solution) or (1.3), see section 5.

We will assume that $K : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a positive C^1 function satisfying

(K_1) The function $r \to p + \dfrac{rK'(r)}{K(r)}$ is strictly positive and decreasing in $(0,\infty)$,

and that the function $f : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

(f_1) $f(0) = 0$, and there exists $u_0 > 0$ such that $f(u) > 0$ for $u > u_0$, and $f(u) \le 0$, $f(u) \not\equiv 0$, for $u \in (0, u_0)$.

(f_2) f is continuous in $[0,\infty)$ and locally lipschitz continuous in $[u_0,\infty)$,

Under assumption (f_2), f is a.e. differentiable in $[u_0,\infty)$. Denoting by D the subset of $[u_0,\infty)$ where f' exists, we will assume the following superlinearity and convexity conditions:

(f_3) $(p-1)f(u) \le f'(u)(u-u_0)$, for all $u \in D$,

(f_4) The function $u \to \dfrac{uf'(u)}{f(u)}$ is decreasing in D.

In the case of radially symmetric solutions, problems (1.2) and (1.3) take the forms

$$\begin{gathered}-(r^{n-1}\phi_p(u'))' = r^{n-1}K(r)f(u), \quad r > 0, \quad n > 2,\\ u'(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{r\to\infty} u(r) = 0, \quad u(r) \ge 0 \text{ for } r > 0,\end{gathered} \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}-(r^{n-1}\phi_p(u'))' = r^{n-1}K(r)f(u), \quad r > 0, \quad n > 2,\\ u'(0) = 0, \quad u(R) = 0, \quad u(r) > 0 \text{ for } r \in (0,R),\end{gathered} \tag{1.6}$$

respectively, where we have denoted $\phi_p(s) := |s|^{p-2}s$, $\phi(0) = 0$. Also, by a solution to the equation in (1.5), (1.6), we mean a function $u \in C^1[0,\infty)$ such that $r^{n-1}\phi_p(u') \in C^1[0,\infty)$.

We state next our main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that f satisfies (f_1)-(f_4), and that the weight K satisfies (K_1). Then problem* (1.5) *has at most one non trivial solution.*

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that f satisfies (f_1)-(f_4), and that the weight K satisfies (K_1). Then problem* (1.6) *has at most one non trivial solution.*

Our work will follow the ideas in [3], [4], [6], [7], [9], [13], [20] and [21]. That is, we will consider an initial value problem associated to the equation in (1.5) or (1.6), and study the behavior of the solution and its derivative with respect to the initial value.

The work in [22] was done in the case that the radial version of (1.1), that is

$$-(a|u'|^{p-2}u')' = b(r)f(u), \quad r>0, \quad n>1, \tag{1.7}$$

(with the obvious notation $a(r) = r^{n-1}A(r)$, $b(r) = r^{n-1}B(r)$) could be transformed, via a diffeomorphism $r = r(t)$ of $\mathbb{R}_0^+$, into the form

$$-(q(t)|v_t|^{p-2}v_t)_t = q(t)f(v), \quad t>0, \tag{1.8}$$

that is, to a problem having the same positive weight function q at both sides, and that

$$q_t > 0, \quad \frac{q_t}{q} \quad \text{strictly decreasing for } t>0 \text{ and } \lim_{t\to 0^+}\frac{tq_t}{q} = N-1 \ge 0$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{R}$. This requires that the functions $a,\ b$ satisfy the assumptions

$$(b/a)^{1/p} \in L^1(0,1) \setminus L^1(1,\infty), \tag{$W1$}$$

$$\Big(\frac{1}{p}\frac{a'}{a} + \frac{1}{p'}\frac{b'}{b}\Big)\Big(\frac{a}{b}\Big)^{1/p} \quad \text{is strictly decreasing and positive in } \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{$W2$}$$

($p' = p/(p-1)$) and there is $N \ge 1$ such that

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+}\Big(\frac{1}{p}\frac{a'}{a} + \frac{1}{p'}\frac{b'}{b}\Big)\Big(\frac{a}{b}\Big)^{1/p}\int_0^r \Big(\frac{b}{a}\Big)^{1/p} ds = N-1. \tag{$W3$}$$

Clearly, our assumptions $n > p$ and (K_1) imply that $K^{1/p} \in L^1(0,1) \setminus L^1(1,\infty)$, $r \to r^pK(r)$ is strictly increasing, implying that $(W1)-(W2)$ are satisfied, and also that the function $r \to rK(r)$ is in $L^1(0,1)$.

We note also that our results will cover the uniqueness of ground state solutions to (1.7), when $a,\ b : (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ are positive C^1 functions satisfying

(w_1) $a^{1-p'} \in L^1(1,\infty) \setminus L^1(0,1)$, (so that $h : r \to \int_r^\infty a^{1-p'}(t)dt$ is well defined)

(w_2) $\Big(\frac{1}{p}\frac{a'}{a} + \frac{1}{p'}\frac{b'}{b}\Big)\frac{h}{|h'|}$ is decreasing in $(0,\infty)$,

with $\lim_{r\to\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{p}\frac{a'}{a} + \frac{1}{p'}\frac{b'}{b}\Big)\frac{h}{|h'|} \ge p-1.$

Indeed, we can make the change of variable

$$t := (h(r))^{-(p-1)/(N-p)}, \quad v(t) = u(r),$$

where $N > p$ is arbitrary, to transform (1.7) into

$$-(t^{N-1}\phi_p(v_t))_t = t^{N-1}\tilde{K}(t)f(v), \quad t>0, \quad N>p, \tag{1.9}$$

where now

$$\tilde{K}(t) = (\frac{N-p}{p-1})^p a^{p'-1}(r(t))b(r(t))h^{\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}+1}(r(t)),$$

thus

$$p + \frac{t\tilde{K}_t(t)}{\tilde{K}(t)} = p'\frac{N-p}{p-1}\Big(\Big(\frac{1}{p}\frac{a'}{a} + \frac{1}{p'}\frac{b'}{b}\Big)\frac{h}{|h'|} - (p-1)\Big).$$

Then, in view of assumption (w_2) we see that $\tilde{K}$ satisfies (K_1).

Our work is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce some notation and list some general properties of the solutions to the initial value problem associated to (1.5). In section 3, we define an energy-like functional and establish a monotonicity type of result, which is the key to prove our uniqueness theorems. Then in section 4, we establish several monotone separation results and prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In section 5, we make a remark concerning the support of a ground state solution and we give some examples to illustrate the type of weights that we are considering. Finally, there is an appendix where we prove a basic result concerning the existence of the derivative of u and u' with respect to the initial data.

2. Preliminary results

The aim of this section is to establish several properties of the solutions to the initial value problem

$$\begin{gathered}(\phi_p(u'))'(r) + \frac{n-1}{r}\phi_p(u'(r)) + K(r)f(u) = 0 \quad r > 0, \quad n > p, \\ u(0) = \alpha \quad u'(0) = 0.\end{gathered} \tag{2.1}$$

for $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Local existence and uniqueness of solutions to (2.1) under assumptions (f_1), (f_2) and (K_1) (in fact only under the assumption $rK \in L^1(0,1)$ for the weight K) can be proved as in the appendix in [22], so we omit proving it.

We will use the equation in (2.1) written in any of the three forms

$$(r^{n-1}\phi_p(u'))' = -r^{n-1}K(r)f(u) \tag{2.2}$$

$$\left(\frac{|u'|^p}{p'}\right)' = -u'\left\{\frac{n-1}{r}\phi_p(u') + K(r)f(u)\right\} \tag{2.3}$$

$$(p-1)\frac{u''}{u'} = -\frac{n-1}{r} - K(r)\frac{f(u)}{\phi_p(u')}. \tag{2.4}$$

As usual, we denote by $u(r, \alpha)$ a solution of (2.1). Let us set $F(s) = \int_0^s f(t)dt$ and define the functional

$$E(r, \alpha) = \frac{|u'(r, \alpha)|^p}{p'K(r)} + F(u(r, \alpha)). \tag{2.5}$$

A simple calculation yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}E(r, \alpha) = -\frac{|u'|^p}{p'rK(r)}\Big(\frac{n-1}{p-1}p + \frac{rK'(r)}{K(r)}\Big), \tag{2.6}$$

and therefore, as $n > p$, we have that E is decreasing in r. Also, $\lim\limits_{r\to 0^+} \frac{|u'|^p}{K(r)}$ exists and we may apply L'Hôpital's rule to compute it:

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{|u'|^{p-1}}{K^{1/p'}(r)} = \lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-1}}{r^{(n-1)}K^{1/p'}(r)} = \lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{p'rK^{1/p}(r)f(u(r))}{\frac{n-1}{p-1}p + \frac{rK'(r)}{K(r)}} = 0.$$

It follows then that if $\alpha \le u_0$, then $E(r, \alpha) < 0$ for all $r > 0$, and thus there cannot exist $0 < R \le \infty$ such that $u(R, \alpha) = 0$. Since we are interested in solutions to (1.5) or (1.6), we will assume that $\alpha > u_0$.

It can be seen that for $\alpha \in (u_0, \infty)$, one has $u(r, \alpha) > 0$ and $u'(r, \alpha) < 0$ for r small enough, so we can define

$$R(\alpha) := \sup\{r > 0 \mid u(t, \alpha) > 0 \text{ and } u'(t, \alpha) < 0 \text{ for all } t \in (0, r)\}.$$

Hence, for a given $\alpha \in (u_0, \infty)$, there is a unique solution $u(r, \alpha)$ defined in $[0, R(\alpha))$, and this function and its derivative are continuous functions of α. Also, $u(r, \alpha)$ is invertible in $[0, R(\alpha))$ and we denote its inverse by $t(s, \alpha)$.

Some immediate properties of the solution of (2.1) are the following:

(i) $\lim\limits_{r\to R(\alpha)} u(r,\alpha)$ exists. (We denote by $u(R(\alpha),\alpha)$ this limit).

(ii) $u(R(\alpha),\alpha)\le u_0$.

(iii) If $R(\alpha)<\infty$, or if $R(\alpha)=\infty$ and $u(R(\alpha),\alpha)<u_0$, then $\lim\limits_{r\to R(\alpha)} u'(r,\alpha)$ exists and it is finite. (We denote by $u'(R(\alpha),\alpha)$ this limit). In the second case it is also satisfied that $\lim\limits_{r\to\infty} r|u'(r,\alpha)|=0$.

The first property is clear, so let us first prove (ii). Assume by contradiction that $u(R(\alpha),\alpha)=\gamma>u_0$. Then

$$r^{n-1}|u'(r,\alpha)|^{p-1}=\int_0^r t^{n-1}K(t)f(u(t,\alpha))dt\ge Cf(\gamma)r^nK(r)$$

for some positive constant C and r sufficiently large, where we have used that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\displaystyle\int_0^r t^{n-1}K(t)dt}{r^nK(r)}\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{r^{n-1}K(r)}{nr^{n-1}K(r)+r^nK'(r)}=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{n+r\frac{K'(r)}{K(r)}}>0$$

since $n>p$ and $p+r\frac{K'(r)}{K(r)}$ is strictly positive and decreasing in $(0,\infty)$. Hence, as $r^pK(r)$ increases, $r^{p-1}|u'(r,\alpha)|^{p-1}\ge C_0>0$ for some positive constant C_0, contradicting the integrability of u' near infinity.

In order to establish (iii), we observe that if $0\le u(r,\alpha)<u_0$ for $0<r_1\le r<R$ then from (2.2) we have that

$$-(r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}u')'=r^{n-1}K(r)f(u)\le 0\quad\text{for } r_1\le r<R,$$

implying that $r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-1}$ decreases for $r_1\le r<R$ and hence $\lim\limits_{r\to R} r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-1}$ exists. We may call it λ, $\lambda\ge 0$. As $n>p$, when $R=\infty$ we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} r|u'|=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\Big(r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-1}\Big)^{p'-1}}{r^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}}=0,$$

implying also that $u'\to 0$. It only remains to examine the case $u(r,\alpha)>u_0$ for all $r\in(0,R(\alpha))$. From (2.2) we have that if $r<R(\alpha)$ then

$$r^{n-1}|u'(r,\alpha)|^{p-1}=\int_0^r t^{n-1}K(t)f(u(t,\alpha))dt$$

This is not possible if $R(\alpha)<\infty$ since the right hand side of this equation would be strictly positive and $u'(R(\alpha),\alpha)=0$, by definition of $R(\alpha)$. We have then that $R(\alpha)=\infty$ if $u(R(\alpha),\alpha)\ge u_0$.

Following [20], [21] we define now the sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}&=\{\alpha>u_0\ :\ u(R(\alpha),\alpha)=0\quad\text{and}\quad u'(R(\alpha),\alpha)<0\}\\ \mathcal{G}&=\{\alpha>u_0\ :\ u(R(\alpha),\alpha)=0\quad\text{and}\quad u'(R(\alpha),\alpha)=0\}\\ \mathcal{P}&=\{\alpha>u_0\ :\ u(R(\alpha),\alpha)>0\}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha\in\mathcal{N}$, we will refer to $u(\cdot,\alpha)$ as a *crossing* solution, and if $\alpha\in\mathcal{G}$, we will refer to $u(\cdot,\alpha)$ as a *ground state* solution.

Minor changes in the proof of [7, Lemma 2.1] yield:

Lemma 2.1. *$\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ are open sets.*

Further properties of the solutions are the following:

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}$ then $R(\alpha) < \infty$ and $E(R(\alpha), \alpha) > 0$ so

$$E(r,\alpha) = E(R(\alpha),\alpha) + \int_r^{R(\alpha)} \frac{|u'(t,\alpha)|^p}{p' t K(t)} \left\{ \frac{n-1}{p-1} p + t \frac{K'(t)}{K(t)} \right\} dt > 0 \quad \text{for } r \in (0, R(\alpha)).$$

Let now $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$. Since $\lim_{r \to R(\alpha)} r|u'(r)| = 0$ and $r^p K(r)$ increases, we conclude that $\lim_{r \to R(\alpha)} E(r,\alpha) = 0$ and thus

$$E(r,\alpha) = \int_r^{R(\alpha)} \frac{|u'(t,\alpha)|^p}{p' t K(t)} \left\{ \frac{n-1}{p-1} p + t \frac{K'(t)}{K(t)} \right\} dt > 0 \quad \text{for } r \in (0, R(\alpha)).$$

Finally, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ we have that $E(R(\alpha),\alpha) = F(u(R(\alpha),\alpha)) < 0$ since $\displaystyle\lim_{r \to R(\alpha)} \frac{|u'(r,\alpha)|^p}{K(r)}$ exists and equals zero. Indeed, this limit exists because $E(r,\alpha)$ has a limit when $r \to R(\alpha)$. This limit is clearly equal to zero if $R(\alpha) < \infty$. In the case that $R(\alpha) = \infty$ and using that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{|u'(r)|^p}{K(r)} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^p |u'|^p}{r^p K(r)},$$

we conclude, as $r^p K(r)$ is increasing and converges to a positive limit or to infinity when $r \to \infty$, that it must be equal to 0. (Otherwise, $\liminf_{r \to \infty} r|u'(r)| > 0$, contradicting the integrability of u' near infinity).

Let $r_0(\alpha)$ denote the (unique) value of r such that $u(r,\alpha) = u_0$. Clearly, $r_0(\alpha)$ is finite for $\alpha \in \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{N}$, and it could be that $r_0(\alpha) = \infty$ for some values of $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$. The following lemma will be proved in the appendix:

Lemma 2.2. *Under assumptions (K_1), (f_1) and (f_2), and for every $\alpha \in (u_0, \infty)$, the functions $u(r,\alpha)$ and $r^{n-1}\phi_p(u'(r,\alpha))$ (actually $K^{-1/p'}(r)\phi_p(u'(r,\alpha))$) are of class C^1 in*

$$\mathcal{O} = \{(r,\alpha) \ : \ \alpha \in (u_0,\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad r \in [0, t(u_0,\alpha))\}.$$

For $r \in (0, t(u_0,\alpha))$, we set

$$\varphi(r,\alpha) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha}(r,\alpha)$$

Then, φ satisfies the linear differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} &(p-1)(r^{n-1}|u'(r,\alpha)|^{p-2}\varphi'(r,\alpha))' + r^{n-1}K(r)f'(u)\varphi(r,\alpha) = 0, \quad r > 0, \quad n > p, \\ &\varphi(0,\alpha) = 1, \quad \varphi'(0,\alpha) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to 0^+} r^{n-1}|u'(r,\alpha)|^{p-2}\varphi'(r,\alpha) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and the relation $\varphi'(r,\alpha) = \frac{\partial u'}{\partial \alpha}(r,\alpha)$, $r \geq 0$. Also, in case that $r_0(\alpha) < \infty$, both $\varphi(r,\alpha)$ and $r^{n-1}|u'(r,\alpha)|^{p-2}\varphi'(r,\alpha)$ can be extended continuously to

$$\underline{\mathcal{O}} = \{(r,\alpha) \ : \ \alpha \in (u_0,\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad r \in [0, t(u_0,\alpha)]\},$$

and the extension of $\varphi'(r,\alpha)$ is the left derivative with respect to r of $\varphi(r,\alpha)$.

3. Basic monotonicity results

In order to prove our results, we divide our analysis into two parts. First, it is shown that if $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{N}$ and $\alpha_2 > u_0$ are such that the corresponding solutions u_1, u_2 satisfy

- $t_2(u_0) \leq t_1(u_0)$
- $t_2(u_0)|u_2'\big(t_2(u_0)\big)| > t_1(u_0)|u_1'\big(t_1(u_0)\big)|$,

where t_1 and t_2 denote the inverses of u_1 and u_2, respectively, then $R(\alpha_2) < R(\alpha_1)$ and $R(\alpha_2)u_2'(R(\alpha_2)) < R(\alpha_1)u_1'(R(\alpha_1))$, where the last expression is to be understood as a limit when $R(\alpha_1) = \infty$. This implies in particular, that $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{N}$, and $u_2'(R(\alpha_2)) < u_1'(R(\alpha_1))$.

Analogously, if $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{G}$ and

- $t_2(u_0) \geq t_1(u_0)$
- $t_2(u_0)|u_2'\big(t_2(u_0)\big)| < t_1(u_0)|u_1'\big(t_1(u_0)\big)|$,

then $u_2(R(\alpha_2)) > 0$ and thus $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{P}$.

This directs our attention towards the function $r_0(\alpha) = t(u_0, \alpha)$, and to the function ru' when u reaches u_0. The second part of this work consists in proving that $r_0(\alpha)$ is decreasing and that $r_0(\alpha)|u'(r_0(\alpha), \alpha)|$ increases with α near $\bar{\alpha}$, when $\bar{\alpha}$ is the initial value of a ground state or a crossing solution. It shall be seen that

$$\frac{\partial r_0(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\varphi(r_0(\alpha), \alpha)}{|u'(r_0(\alpha), \alpha)|}$$

and

$$r_0(\alpha)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} r_0(\alpha)u'(r_0(\alpha), \alpha) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left\{r^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}\varphi(r, \alpha)\right\}_{r=r_0(\alpha)}$$

Therefore, it is natural to analyze carefully the zeros of the function $\varphi(r, \alpha)$ for α near $\bar{\alpha}$.

These two results combined together lead us to a monotone separation type of result that will finally yield the desired uniqueness theorems.

As mentioned in [6], the function $\varphi(r, \alpha)$ and the idea of studying its zeros first appeared in the work of Coffman [4]. As we are studying the function ru', the function $r|u'(r, \alpha)|/u(r, \alpha)$, which was proven by Kwong [13] to be increasing in r for $r \in (0, r_0(\bar{\alpha}))$, will play an important role.

Finally we mention that since all the differential equations that we are given are of the form

$$(r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}v')' = \xi(r)$$

for an appropriate function ξ, and v any among u, u', φ, the following identity

$$(r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}(v'w - vw'))' = (r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}v')'w - (r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}w')'v$$

will prove to be crucial as it will allow us to obtain information about $v'w - vw'$, which will be especially relevant when any of v, v', w, or w' is equal to 0.

3.1. Behavior of the solutions below u_0.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{N}$, and let $\alpha_2 > u_0$. Let t_1 and t_2 denote the inverses of $u(r, \alpha_1)$, and $u(r, \alpha_2)$ respectively. If*

$$t_2(u_0) \leq t_1(u_0) < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad t_2(u_0)|u_2'(t_2(u_0))| > t_1(u_0)|u_1'(t_1(u_0))|$$

then $u_2(R(\alpha_2)) = 0$, and

$$t_2(s) < t_1(s) \quad \textit{and} \quad t_2(s)|u_2'(t_2(s))| > t_1(s)|u_1'(t_1(s))| \quad \textit{for } s \in [0, u_0).$$

Conversely, if

$$t_1(u_0) \le t_2(u_0) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad t_2(u_0)|u_2'(t_2(u_0))| < t_1(u_0)|u_1'(t_1(u_0))|$$

then

$$t_2(s) > t_1(s) \quad \text{and} \quad t_2(s)|u_2'(t_2(s))| < t_1(s)|u_1'(t_1(s))| \quad \text{for } s \in [u_2(R(\alpha_2)), u_0).$$

Remark. We note that when $s = 0$ in the first statement of the proposition, the conclusion should be read as $R(\alpha_2) < \infty$ and $R(\alpha_2)|u_2'(R(\alpha_2))| > 0$.

Proof. Let us define

$$\overline{F}(s) = \int_0^s f(\xi)t_1^p(\xi)K\big(t_1(\xi)\big)d\xi$$

and

$$I(s,\alpha) = t^p(s,\alpha)\frac{|u'(t(s,\alpha),\alpha)|^p}{p'} + \overline{F}(s)$$

We observe first that $\overline{F}(s)$ is well defined: indeed, since $\lim_{r\to R(\alpha_1)} ru_1'(r) = 0$, it follows that $r^{p-1}|u_1'(r)|^{p-1}$ is bounded and thus $r^{p-1}|u_1'(r)|^{p-1}|u'(r)| \in L^1(0, R(\alpha_1))$. Using now that from equation (2.3) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^s f(\xi)t_1^p(\xi)K\big(t_1(\xi)\big)d\xi &= \int_{t_1(s)}^{R(\alpha_1)} r^pK(r)f(u_1(r))|u_1'(r)|dr \\ &= r^p\frac{|u'|^p}{p'}\bigg|_{t_1(s)}^{R(\alpha_1)} + (n-p)\int_{t_1(s)}^{R(\alpha_1)} r^{p-1}|u_1'(r)|^{p-1}|u'(r)|dr,\end{aligned}$$

our claim follows.

Using (2.3) again we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}I(s,\alpha) &= (p-1)t'(s,\alpha)t^{p-1}(s,\alpha)|u'(t(s,\alpha),\alpha)|^p \\ &\quad + t^p(s,\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left\{\frac{|u'(r,\alpha)|^p}{p'}\right\}_{r=t(s,\alpha)} t'(s,\alpha) + f(s)t_1^p(s)K\big(t_1(s)\big) \\ &= (p-1)t^{p-1}(s,\alpha)\phi_p(u') - t^p(s,\alpha)\left\{\frac{n-1}{t(s,\alpha)}\phi_p(u') + f(s)K\big(t(s,\alpha)\big)\right\} \\ &\qquad + f(s)t_1^p(s)K\big(t_1(s)\big) \\ &= -(n-p)t^{p-1}(s,\alpha)\phi_p(u') + f(s)\{t_1^p(s)K\big(t_1(s)\big) - t^p(s,\alpha)K\big(t(s,\alpha)\big)\}\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at $\alpha = \alpha_1$ we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}I(s,\alpha_1) = (n-p)t_1^{p-1}(s)|u_1'\big(t_1(s)\big)|^{p-1} > 0$$

Besides,

$$I(0,\alpha_1) = R(\alpha_1)^p\frac{|u_1'(R(\alpha_1))|^p}{p'} + 0 \ge 0$$

(if $R(\alpha_1) = \infty$ this continues to be true), and hence

$$I(s,\alpha_1) > 0 \quad \forall\, s \in (0,\alpha_1) \tag{3.1}$$

Let us assume, for contradiction, that there exists $s_1 \in [0, u_0)$ such that $t_2(s) < t_1(s)$ for all $s \in (s_1, u_0)$ and $t_2(s_1) = t_1(s_1) < \infty$ (in case that $t_2(u_0) = t_1(u_0)$, since

$$t_2(u_0)|u_2'(t_2(u_0))| > t_1(u_0)|u_1'(t_1(u_0))|$$

then $t_2(s)$ would be strictly less than $t_1(s)$ for s in a neighborhood below u_0). When $s \to s_1^+$ we have

$$\frac{t_2(s) - t_2(s_1)}{s - s_1} < \frac{t_1(s) - t_1(s_1)}{s - s_1}$$

so $t_2'(s_1) \le t_1'(s_1)$ and

$$t_2(s_1)|u_2'(t_2(s_1))| = -\frac{t_2(s_1)}{t_2'(s_1)} \le -\frac{t_1(s_1)}{t_1'(s_1)} = t_1(s_1)|u_1'(t_1(s_1))|$$

(the case $t_1'(s_1) = -\infty$ is also being considered here). Thus the existence of $\bar{s} \in [0, u_0)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{llr} & I(s, \alpha_2) > I(s, \alpha_1) > 0 & \text{for } s \in (\bar{s}, u_0] \\ (3.2) & I(\bar{s}, \alpha_2) = I(\bar{s}, \alpha_1) \ge 0 & \\ & t_2(s) \le t_1(s) & \text{for } s \in [\bar{s}, u_0] \end{array}$$

follows. If instead of the previous case we have that $t_2(s) < t_1(s)$ for all $s \in (0, u_0)$, u_1 and u_2 are defined in $(0, \infty)$, and both $u_1(r)$ and $u_2(r)$ tend to zero when $r \to \infty$, then

$$\lim_{s \to 0^+} I(s, \alpha) = \lim_{r \to \infty} r|u'(r, \alpha)| = 0$$

for both $\alpha = \alpha_1$ and $\alpha = \alpha_2$, so we may choose $\bar{s}$ as the value of s where

$$I(\xi, \alpha_2) > I(\xi, \alpha_1) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in [s, u_0]$$

ceases to be true. This can also be done if α_2 is not in $\mathcal{G}$ nor in $\mathcal{N}$ and $t_2(s) < t_1(s)$ for $s \in (u_2(R(\alpha_2), u_0)$, as in this case we have that

$$t_2(u_2(R(\alpha_2)))|u_2'(R(\alpha_2))| = 0 < t_1(u_2(R(\alpha_2)))|u_1'(R(\alpha_2))|.$$

Finally, we observe that if $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{N}$, $t_2(s) < t_1(s)$ for all $s \in (0, u_0)$ and condition

$$t_2(s)|u_2'(t_2(s))| > t_1(s)|u_1'(t_1(s))| \quad \text{for } s \in [0, u_0)$$

is not satisfied, then again it can be found $\bar{s}$ such that (3.2) holds.

From relation (3.2) we see that we can well define, for $s \in (\bar{s}, u_0]$, $W(s, \alpha) = I(s, \alpha)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $\alpha = \alpha_1$ and $\alpha = \alpha_2$, that $W(s, \alpha_2) - W(s, \alpha_1)$ is positive at $s = u_0$ and equals zero at $s = \bar{s}$. Nevertheless this is not possible because $W(s, \alpha_2) - W(s, \alpha_1)$ decreases for $s \in [\bar{s}, u_0]$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\{W(s, \alpha_2) - W(s, \alpha_1)\} &= \frac{1}{p}\left\{ I(s, \alpha_2)^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} I(s, \alpha_2) - I(s, \alpha_1)^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} I(s, \alpha_1) \right\} \\ = \quad \frac{n-p}{p} &\left\{ \frac{t_2^{p-1}(s)|u_2'\big(t_2(s)\big)|^{p-1}}{\left(t_2^p(s)\dfrac{|u_2'\big(t_2(s)\big)|^p}{p'} + \overline{F}(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}} - \frac{t_1^{p-1}(s)|u_1'\big(t_1(s)\big)|^{p-1}}{\left(t_1^p(s)\dfrac{|u_1'\big(t_1(s)\big)|^p}{p'} + \overline{F}(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}} \right\} \\ +\frac{1}{p}\frac{f(s)}{I(s, \alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{p'}}} &\left(t_1^p(s) K\big(t_1(s)\big) - t_2^p(s) K\big(t_2(s)\big) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the first term, using the identity $p-1=\frac{p}{p'}$ we have

$$\frac{[t_2|u_2'|]^{p-1}}{\left(\frac{1}{p'}[t_2|u_2'|]^p+\overline{F}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}-\frac{[t_1|u_1'|]^{p-1}}{\left(\frac{1}{p'}[t_1|u_1'|]^p+\overline{F}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}$$

$$=\left(\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{\overline{F}}{t_2^p|u_2'|^p}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p'}}-\left(\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{\overline{F}}{t_1^p|u_1'|^p}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p'}}\leq 0$$

since $t_2|u_2'|>t_1|u_1'|$ and $\overline{F}(s)\leq 0$ for $s<u_0$.

With respect to the second term, as $r^pK(r)$ is increasing and since $t_2(s)\leq t_1(s)$ we will have that $f(s)\left(r^pK(r)\big|_{r=t_2(s)}^{t_1(s)}\right)\leq 0$ because $f(s)\leq 0$ for $s\leq u_0$. This completes the proof of the first part of the proposition.

In order to proof the second part of the proposition assume for a contradiction that there exists $\bar{s}\in[u_2(R(\alpha_2)),u_0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} I(s,\alpha_2)&<I(s,\alpha_1) \quad \text{for } s\in(\bar{s},u_0]\\ I(\bar{s},\alpha_2)&=I(\bar{s},\alpha_1)\\ t_2(s)&\geq t_1(s) \qquad \text{for } s\in[\bar{s},u_0]\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}I(s,\alpha_2)=(n-p)t_2^{p-1}(s)|u_2'(s)|^{p-1}+f(s)\{t_1^p(s)K\big(t_1(s)\big)-t_2^p(s)K\big(t_2(s)\big)\}>0$$

for $s\in(\bar{s},u_0)$ and $I(\bar{s},\alpha_2)=I(\bar{s},\alpha_1)\geq 0$ then $W(s,\alpha_2)$ is well defined for s in that interval. From relation (3.3) we obtain that $W(s,\alpha_2)-W(s,\alpha_1)$ would be negative at $s=u_0$ and equal to zero at $s=\bar{s}$, and this is not possible since $W(s,\alpha_2)-W(s,\alpha_1)$ is increasing in $[\bar{s},u_0]$. Indeed,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\{W(s,\alpha_2)-W(s,\alpha_1)\}=\frac{1}{p}\left\{I(s,\alpha_2)^{-\frac{1}{p'}}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}I(s,\alpha_2)-I(s,\alpha_1)^{-\frac{1}{p'}}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}I(s,\alpha_1)\right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}=\quad&\frac{n-p}{p}\left\{\frac{t_2^{p-1}(s)|u_2'\big(t_2(s)\big)|^{p-1}}{\left(t_2^p(s)\frac{|u_2'\big(t_2(s)\big)|^p}{p'}+\overline{F}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}-\frac{t_1^{p-1}(s)|u_1'\big(t_1(s)\big)|^{p-1}}{\left(t_1^p(s)\frac{|u_1'\big(t_1(s)\big)|^p}{p'}+\overline{F}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}\right\}\\ +\quad&\frac{1}{p}\frac{f(s)}{I(s,\alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}\left(t_1^p(s)K\big(t_1(s)\big)-t_2^p(s)K\big(t_2(s)\big)\right).\end{aligned}$$

The first term will be positive since $\overline{F}(s)<0$ and $t_2|u_2'|<t_1|u_1'|$, and the second term will be positive since $t_2(s)\geq t_1(s)$ and $f(s)\leq 0$. This completes the proof. ☐

3.2. **Behavior of the solutions above u_0.**

We begin the second part of this work by noting that if u satisfies (2.2) and we set

$$v(r):=ru'(r)+cu(r),$$

where c is an arbitrary constant, then using (2.4) we have

$$v'(r)=\left(c-\frac{n-p}{p-1}\right)u'(r)-\frac{1}{p-1}rK(r)\frac{f(u)}{|u'(r)|^{p-2}}\tag{3.4}$$

Using now (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}(p-1)(r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}v')' &= -(c(p-1)-(n-p))r^{n-1}K(r)f(u)-(r^nK(r)f(u))' \\ &= -r^{n-1}K(r)f(u)\left\{c(p-1)+\left(p+r\frac{K'(r)}{K(r)}\right)+ru'(r)\frac{f'(u)}{f(u)}\right\} \quad (3.5)\end{aligned}$$

Next we prove the following lemma. In what follows we denote $r_0(\alpha)$ for $r(u_0,\alpha)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\bar\alpha\in\mathcal{G}\cup\mathcal{N}$. Then $ru'(r)/u(r)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0,\bar r_0)$, where $u(r):=u(r,\bar\alpha)$ and $\bar r_0:=r_0(\bar\alpha)$.*

Proof. As in [6] and [7], we set $w(r)=ru'(r)$. Then

$$u^2\left(\frac{ru'}{u}\right)'(r)=uw'(r)-wu'(r)$$

and it suffices to show that $wu'-uw'$ is positive in $(0,\bar r_0)$. Let $r\in(0,\bar r_0)$. It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned}&(p-1)r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}(wu'(r)-uw'(r)) \\ &=\int_0^r\{(p-1)(t^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}u')'w-(p-1)(t^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}w')'u\}dt\end{aligned}$$

and since $w(r)$ is a particular case of the function $v(r)$ defined above (where $c=0$),

$$(p-1)(r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}w')'=-r^{n-1}K(r)f(u)\left\{\left(p+r\frac{K'(r)}{K(r)}\right)+w(r)\frac{f'(u)}{f(u)}\right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(p-1)r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}(wu'(r)-uw'(r)) &= \int_0^r t^{n-1}K(t)w(t)(u(t)f'(u)-(p-1)f(u))dt \\ &\quad+\int_0^r t^{n-1}K(t)f(u)u(t)\left(p+\frac{tK'(t)}{K(t)}\right)dt \\ &= \int_0^r t^nK(t)((uf(u))'-pf(u))dt+\int_0^r t^{n-1}K(t)f(u)u(t)\left(p+t\frac{K'(t)}{K(t)}\right)dt\end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$F_0(s)=\int_{u_0}^s f(\xi)d\xi,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^r t^nK(t)((uf(u))'-pf(u))dt \\ &\quad= r^nK(r)(uf(u)-pF_0(u))-\int_0^r t^{n-1}K(t)uf(u)\left(1-p\frac{F_0(u)}{uf(u)}\right)\left(n+t\frac{K'(t)}{K(t)}\right)dt\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}&(p-1)r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}(wu'(r)-uw'(r))=r^nK(r)(uf(u)-pF_0(u)) \\ &\qquad+\int_0^r t^{n-1}K(t)uf(u)\left\{p\frac{F_0(u)}{uf(u)}\left(n+t\frac{K'(t)}{K(t)}\right)-(n-p)\right\}dt \quad (3.6)\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the hypothesis $f'(u)(u-u_0)\geq(p-1)f(u)$ for $u>u_0$ implies that

$$pF_0(u)\leq f(u)(u-u_0)\text{ if }u\geq u_0\,, \quad (3.7)$$

so it remains only to show that

$$\int_0^r t^{n-1}K(t)uf(u)\left\{p\frac{F_0(u)}{uf(u)}\left(n+t\frac{K'(t)}{K(t)}\right)-(n-p)\right\}dt>0 \quad \text{for } r\in(0,\bar r_0) \tag{3.8}$$

Set

$$G(s)=p\left(n+t(s,\bar\alpha)\frac{K'(t(s,\bar\alpha))}{K(t(s,\bar\alpha))}\right)\frac{F_0(s)}{sf(s)}-(n-p)$$

We see first that evaluating at $r=\bar r_0$ equation (3.6) becomes

$$(p-1)\bar r_0^{n-1}|u'(\bar r_0)|^{p-2}(wu'(\bar r_0)-uw'(\bar r_0))=\int_0^{\bar r_0}t^{n-1}K(t)u(t)f(u(t))G(u(t))dt \tag{3.9}$$

On the other hand, using (3.4) we obtain that $w'(\bar r_0)=-\frac{n-p}{p-1}u'(\bar r_0)$, that is,

$$wu'(\bar r_0)-uw'(\bar r_0)=-|u'(\bar r_0)|\left(\frac{n-p}{p-1}u_0+ru'(\bar r_0)\right)$$

Using (3.4) once again we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left\{\frac{n-p}{p-1}u(r)+ru'(r)\right\}=-\frac{rK(r)}{p-1}\frac{f(u)}{|u'|^{p-2}}\geq 0$$

for $r\in(\bar r_0,R(\bar\alpha))$, and since $\bar\alpha\in\mathcal{G}\cup\mathcal{N}$ we must have

$$\lim_{r\to R(\bar\alpha)}\frac{n-p}{p-1}u(r)+ru'(r)\leq 0,$$

implying that

$$\left(\frac{n-p}{p-1}u_0+ru'(\bar r_0)\right)<0$$

so $wu'(\bar r_0)-uw'(\bar r_0)>0$ and from (3.9) we see that G cannot be everywhere negative in $(u_0,\bar\alpha)$. Relation (3.7) shows us that

$$0\leq\lim_{s\to u_0^+}\frac{pF_0(s)}{f(s)}\leq\lim_{s\to u_0^+}(s-u_0)=0$$

and since $n>p$ and $n+t(s,\bar\alpha)\frac{K'(t(s,\bar\alpha))}{K(t(s,\bar\alpha))}$ is strictly positive we know that $G(u_0)=-(n-p)<0$. Finally, we observe that

$$\left(\frac{F_0(s)}{sf(s)}\right)'=(s^2f(s))^{-1}\left\{sf(s)-F_0(s)\left(1+\frac{sf'(s)}{f(s)}\right)\right\}$$

By hypothesis, $\frac{sf'(s)}{f(s)}$ decreases when s increases, so $sf(s)-F_0(s)\left(1+\frac{sf'(s)}{f(s)}\right)$ is increasing in s and equals zero when $s=u_0$, implying that $\frac{F_0(s)}{sf(s)}$ is increasing in s. $n+t(s,\bar\alpha)\frac{K'(t(s,\bar\alpha))}{K(t(s,\bar\alpha))}$ is increasing too, since $n>p$, so $G(s)$ is increasing in s. We conclude the existence of $s_1\in(u_0,\bar\alpha)$ such that $G(s_1)=0$, $G(s)<0$ for $s\in(u_0,s_1)$ and $G(s)>0$ for $s\in(s_1,\bar\alpha)$. Now, if $u(r)\geq s_1$ then (3.8) follows. If $u(r)<s_1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^r t^{n-1}K(t)uf(u)\left\{p\frac{F_0(u)}{uf(u)}\left(n+t\frac{K'(t)}{K(t)}\right)-(n-p)\right\}dt\\ &> \int_0^{\bar r_0} t^{n-1}K(t)uf(u)\left\{p\frac{F_0(u)}{uf(u)}\left(n+t\frac{K'(t)}{K(t)}\right)-(n-p)\right\}dt\\ &= (p-1)\bar r_0^{n-1}|u'(\bar r_0)|^{p-2}(wu'(\bar r_0)-uw'(\bar r_0))>0,\end{aligned}$$

and thus the lemma follows. ☐

4. Monotone separation results and proof of the main theorems

We begin this section with our first monotone separation result.

Theorem 4.1. *If* $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{N}$ *then* $r_0(\alpha)$ *is decreasing and* $r_0(\alpha)u'(r_0(\alpha),\alpha)$ *is strictly decreasing in a neighborhood of* $\bar{\alpha}$.

Proof. From the relation $u(r_0(\alpha),\alpha) = u_0$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial r_0}{\partial \alpha} = -\frac{\varphi(r_0(\alpha),\alpha)}{u'(r_0(\alpha),\alpha)}. \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand, using equation (2.4) (which is simplified because it is evaluated at $r = r_0(\alpha)$ so that the term containing $f(u)$ disappears) and computing directly it follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\{r_0(\alpha)u'(r_0(\alpha),\alpha)\} = \frac{n-p}{p-1}\varphi(r_0(\alpha),\alpha) + r_0(\alpha)\varphi'(r_0(\alpha),\alpha). \tag{4.2}$$

For $r \in (0, r_0(\alpha))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(p-1)(r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}\varphi')'(u-u_0) &= \{(p-1)r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}\varphi'(u-u_0)\}' \\ &\quad -(p-1)\varphi' r^{n-1}\phi_p(u') \\ = \quad &(p-1)\{r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}\varphi'(u-u_0) - \varphi r^{n-1}\phi_p(u')\}' + (p-1)\varphi(r^{n-1}\phi_p(u'))' \\ = \quad &(p-1)\{r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}[\varphi'(u-u_0) - \varphi u']\}' - (p-1)r^{n-1}Kf\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

and therefore we have from equation (2.7) that

$$(p-1)\{r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}[\varphi'(u-u_0)-\varphi u']\}' + r^{n-1}K\varphi[f'(u)(u-u_0)-(p-1)f(u)] = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

In particular

$$(p-1)r_0^{n-1}|u'(r_0)|^{p-1}\varphi(r_0,\alpha) + \int_0^{r_0} r^{n-1}K\varphi[f'(u)(u-u_0)-(p-1)f(u)]dr = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

and this is valid for every α (not only for $\bar{\alpha}$). For simplicity, we will write $r_0 = r_0(\alpha)$, $\bar{r}_0 = r_0(\bar{\alpha})$ and $\varphi(r) = \varphi(r,\bar{\alpha})$. We know, from (2.7) that $\varphi(0) = 1$. Assume that $\varphi(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0,\bar{r}_0)$. Since, by hypothesis (f_3), $f'(u)(u-u_0)-(p-1)f(u) \geq 0$ for $u \in D$, (4.4) yields $\varphi(\bar{r}_0) = 0$ (implying immediately that $\varphi'(\bar{r}_0) < 0$) and $f'(s)(s-u_0)-(p-1)f(s) \equiv 0$ for $s \in (u_0,\bar{\alpha})$. Replacing in (4.2) we have, in particular, that $\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\{r_0(\alpha)u'(r_0(\alpha),\alpha)\} < 0$ at $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$. From (4.4) we deduce that $\varphi(r_0,\alpha) = 0$ when α is close to $\bar{\alpha}$ but less than $\bar{\alpha}$, and $\varphi(r_0,\alpha) \leq 0$ if $\alpha > \bar{\alpha}$ because $u(r,\alpha) \in (u_0,\bar{\alpha})$ except for $r \in (0, t(\bar{\alpha},\alpha))$, where $\varphi(r,\alpha)$ is positive as $\varphi(0,\alpha) = 1$ for all α and φ is continuous. Hence we conclude that $\frac{\partial r_0}{\partial \alpha} \leq 0$ in a neighborhood of $\bar{\alpha}$.

The case when φ has a first zero r_1 in $(0,\bar{r}_0)$ is more difficult and must be treated differently. The idea is to show that r_1 is the only zero of φ in $(0,\bar{r}_0]$, implying that $\varphi(\bar{r}_0) < 0$ (which immediately yields $\frac{\partial r_0}{\partial\alpha}(\bar{\alpha}) < 0$), and that also $\frac{n-p}{p-1}\varphi(\bar{r}_0,\bar{\alpha}) + \bar{r}_0\varphi'(\bar{r}_0,\bar{\alpha}) < 0$, yielding again $\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\{r_0(\alpha)u'(r_0(\alpha),\alpha)\} < 0$. Here we will make use of our Lemma 3.1.

Let us set as before $v(r) = ru'(r) + cu(r)$, where $u(r)$ denotes $u(r, \bar{\alpha})$. From equation (3.5) we see that v satisfies

$$(p-1)(r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}v')' + r^{n-1}K(r)f'(u)v = r^{n-1}\Omega(r) \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\Omega(r) = -K(r)f(u)\left\{c(p-1) + \left(p + r\frac{K'(r)}{K(r)}\right) - c\frac{uf'(u)}{f(u)}\right\}.$$

$\Omega(r)$ can also be written as

$$\Omega(r) = -K(r)f(u)\left\{\left(p + r\frac{K'(r)}{K(r)}\right) - c\frac{f'(u)(u-u_0) - (p-1)f(u)}{f(u)} - cu_0\frac{f'(u)}{f(u)}\right\}$$

$\Omega(r_1)$ would be negative if $c = 0$; By hypothesis,

$$c\frac{f'(u(r_1))(u(r_1) - u_0) - (p-1)f(u(r_1))}{f(u(r_1))} \geq 0$$

if $c > 0$ because $r_1 \in (0, \bar{r}_0)$; and since $u_0\frac{f'(u(r_1))}{f(u(r_1))}$ is positive, the expression

$$p + r_1\frac{K'(r_1)}{K(r_1)} - cu_0\frac{f'(u(r_1))}{f(u(r_1))}$$

can be made negative if we choose c large enough. Therefore there exists $c > 0$ such that $\Omega(r_1) = 0$. Since, by the hypotheses imposed on K and on f

$$c(p-1) + \left(p + r\frac{K'(r)}{K(r)}\right) - c\frac{u(r)f'(u(r))}{f(u(r))}$$

is decreasing in r, we have that $\Omega(r)$ is negative in $(0, r_1)$ and positive in $(r_1, \bar{r}_0)$.

Using relations (4.5) and (2.7) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(p-1)r^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}(\varphi v'(r) - v\varphi'(r)) \\
&= (p-1)\int_0^r \{(t^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}v')'\varphi - (t^{n-1}|u'|^{p-2}\varphi')'v\}dt \\
&= \int_0^r \{-t^{n-1}K(t)f'(u)v(t) + t^{n-1}\Omega(t)\}\varphi(t)dt + \int_0^r t^{n-1}K(t)f'(u)\varphi(t)v(t)dt \\
&= \int_0^r t^{n-1}\Omega(t)\varphi(t)dt
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude therefore that

$$\varphi(r)v'(r) - v(r)\varphi'(r) \leq 0 \tag{4.6}$$

for all r in $(0, r_1]$, and also for r in $(r_1, \bar{r}_0]$ as long as $\varphi(r)$ remains negative in (r_1, r). In particular (4.6) is true for $r = r_1$, so we have that $v(r_1)$ is necessarily non positive. Now we can show that $\varphi(r)$ has no zeroes in $(r_1, \bar{r}_0]$, because if otherwise there would be a first zero r_2 after r_1, for which we should have, according to (4.6), that $v(r_2) \geq 0$. But since $ru'(r)/u(r)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0, \bar{r}_0)$,

$$v(r) = u(r)\left(\frac{ru'(r)}{u(r)} + c\right) < u(r)\left(\frac{r_1u'(r_1)}{u(r_1)} + c\right) = \frac{u(r)}{u(r_1)}v(r_1) < 0 \text{ for } r > r_1.$$

We can finally prove our theorem. We have that $\varphi(\bar{r}_0) < 0$ so we already have proven the first part of the theorem. If we had also that $\varphi'(\bar{r}_0) < 0$ then we would be ready, so lets assume $\varphi'(\bar r_0) > 0$. This can only happen if $c < \frac{n-p}{p-1}$. Indeed, if $c \geq \frac{n-p}{p-1}$, then, as can be seen in equation (3.4) we would have

$$v'(r) = \left(c - \frac{n-p}{p-1}\right) u'(r) - \frac{1}{p-1} rK(r) \frac{f(u)}{|u'(r)|^{p-2}} \leq -\frac{1}{p-1} rK(r) \frac{f(u)}{|u'(r)|^{p-2}} \leq 0$$

for all $r \in (0, \bar r_0)$. Since $v(\bar r_0) < 0$, if $\varphi'(\bar r_0) > 0$ relation (4.6) would be contradicted.

Hence we shall assume that $c < \frac{n-p}{p-1}$ and that $\varphi'(\bar r_0) > 0$. Since (4.6) holds for all $r \in (0, \bar r_0)$, by using (3.4) we have that

$$\left\{ \varphi(r)|u'(r)| \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} - c\right) - \varphi'(r)v(r) \right\} - \frac{rK(r)}{p-1} \frac{f(u)\varphi(r)}{|u'|^{p-2}} \leq 0$$

hence letting $r \to \bar r_0$ we obtain that

$$\varphi(\bar r_0)|u'(\bar r_0)| \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} - c\right) - \varphi'(\bar r_0)v(\bar r_0) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n-p}{p-1} v(\bar r_0) &= c\big(\bar r_0 u'(\bar r_0) + \frac{n-p}{p-1} u(\bar r_0)\big) - \left(c - \frac{n-p}{p-1}\right) \bar r_0 u'(\bar r_0) \\ &< -\left(c - \frac{n-p}{p-1}\right) \bar r_0 u'(\bar r_0) \end{aligned}$$

because $\bar r_0 u'(\bar r_0) + \frac{n-p}{p-1} u(\bar r_0)$ is negative when $\bar\alpha \in \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{N}$, as was proved in Lemma 3.1. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\bar r_0)|u'(\bar r_0)| \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} - c\right) &\leq \varphi'(\bar r_0)v(\bar r_0) \\ &< -\frac{p-1}{n-p} \varphi'(\bar r_0) \left(c - \frac{n-p}{p-1}\right) \bar r_0 u'(\bar r_0) \end{aligned}$$

and this implies, dividing by $\left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} - c\right) |u'(\bar r_0)|$, that

$$\frac{n-p}{p-1} \varphi(\bar r_0) + \bar r_0 \varphi'(\bar r_0) < 0,$$

as we required. □

The above result is sufficient in order to prove Theorem 1.1, but thanks to Proposition 3.1, it can be extended to the following stronger monotone separation theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *If $\bar\alpha \in \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{N}$ then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $\alpha \in (\bar\alpha, \bar\alpha + \delta)$, $u(R(\alpha), \alpha) = 0$,*

$$t(s, \alpha) < t(s, \bar\alpha) \quad \textit{and} \quad t(s, \alpha)|u'(t(s, \alpha), \alpha)| > t(s, \bar\alpha)|u'(t(s, \bar\alpha), \bar\alpha)|$$

for every fixed $s \in [0, u_0)$. If instead, $\alpha \in (\bar\alpha - \delta, \bar\alpha)$, then

$$t(s, \alpha) > t(s, \bar\alpha) \quad \textit{and} \quad t(s, \alpha)|u'(t(s, \alpha), \alpha)| < t(s, \bar\alpha)|u'(t(s, \bar\alpha), \bar\alpha)|$$

for every fixed $s \in [u(R(\alpha), \alpha), u_0)$.

Proof. We know from Theorem 4.1 that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $r_0(\alpha)$ is decreasing and $r_0(\alpha)|u'(r_0(\alpha),\alpha)|$ is strictly increasing in $(\bar\alpha-\delta,\bar\alpha+\delta)$. Therefore for $\alpha \in (\bar\alpha,\bar\alpha+\delta)$ we have that

$$t(u_0,\alpha) \le t(u_0,\bar\alpha) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad t(u_0,\alpha)|u'(t(u_0,\alpha),\alpha)| > t(u_0,\bar\alpha)|u'(t(u_0,\bar\alpha),\bar\alpha)|,$$

and if $\alpha \in (\bar\alpha-\delta,\bar\alpha)$, then

$$t(u_0,\alpha) \ge t(u_0,\bar\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad t(u_0,\alpha)|u'(t(u_0,\alpha),\alpha)| < t(u_0,\bar\alpha)|u'(t(u_0,\bar\alpha),\bar\alpha)|.$$

The result follows now from Proposition 3.1. □

We deduce now the following separation theorem that will allow us to prove the uniqueness of radial ground states solutions to (1.2).

Theorem 4.3. *If $\bar\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$ then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$(\bar\alpha,\bar\alpha+\delta) \subset \mathcal{N} \quad \textit{and} \quad (\bar\alpha-\delta,\bar\alpha) \subset \mathcal{P}.$$

Proof. We have from the previous theorem that, for $\alpha \in (\bar\alpha,\bar\alpha+\delta)$, $u(R(\alpha),\alpha) = 0$,

$$R(\alpha) = t(0,\alpha) < t(0,\bar\alpha) \le \infty$$

and

$$R(\alpha)|u'(R(\alpha),\alpha)| > \lim_{r\to R(\bar\alpha)} r|u'(r,\bar\alpha)| = 0,$$

so α must be in $\mathcal{N}$.

We prove that $(\bar\alpha-\delta,\bar\alpha) \subset \mathcal{P}$ by contradiction: let $\alpha \in (\bar\alpha-\delta,\bar\alpha)$ and assume that $u(R(\alpha),\alpha) = 0$. From the previous theorem we obtain then that

$$R(\alpha)|u'(R(\alpha),\alpha)| < \lim_{r\to R(\bar\alpha)} r|u'(r,\bar\alpha)| = 0,$$

which clearly cannot be true. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume by contradiction that there are two different ground state solutions, an let $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ be their initial values. Let us set

$$\bar\alpha = \sup\{\alpha \in (\alpha_1,\alpha_2) : (\alpha_1,\alpha) \subset \mathcal{N}\},$$

which is well defined because of the last theorem applied to α_1. $\bar\alpha$ cannot be in $\mathcal{N}$ nor in $\mathcal{P}$ since both sets are open, and hence $\bar\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$, but this contradicts the fact that, by the last theorem applied to $\bar\alpha$, there exists a neighborhood below $\bar\alpha$ entirely contained in $\mathcal{P}$. This completes the proof. □

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we establish next the following monotonicity result.

Theorem 4.4. *If $\bar\alpha \in \mathcal{G}\cup\mathcal{N}$ then $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}$ for $\alpha > \bar\alpha$ and $R(\alpha) = t(0,\alpha)$ is strictly decreasing in $[\bar\alpha,\infty)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $R(\alpha)$ is strictly decreasing and $R(\alpha)|u'(R(\alpha),\alpha)|$ is strictly increasing for $\alpha \in [\bar\alpha,\bar\alpha+\delta)$. This implies, as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, that $(\bar\alpha,\bar\alpha+\delta) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Let us set

$$\alpha_1 = \sup\{\alpha > \bar\alpha : (\bar\alpha,\alpha) \subset \mathcal{N}\}.$$

If α_1 were finite, α_1 cannot be in $\mathcal{N}$ nor in $\mathcal{P}$ because of the openness of both sets, and cannot be in $\mathcal{G}$ because of Theorem 4.3, we conclude that α_1 must be infinite. The monotonicity of $R(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in [\bar\alpha,\infty)$ follows using Proposition 3.1 and the compactness of $[\alpha_1,\alpha_2]$, $\alpha_1 \ge \bar\alpha$, completing the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2.. It follows directly from Theorem 4.4. □

Finally, we note that if $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}$, we can write

$$E(R(\alpha),\alpha) = \frac{t(0,\alpha)^p|u'(t(0,\alpha))|^p}{p't(0,\alpha)^pK(t(0,\alpha))},$$

which is strictly increasing in α by Theorems 4.2 and 4.4. This is consistent with the fact that $E(R(\alpha),\alpha)$ is negative for $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, equals zero when $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$ and is positive for $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}$, considering that the initial values in $\mathcal{P}$ are below and initial values in $\mathcal{N}$ are above the initial value of the ground state solution, in case it exists. These properties suggest that it can be considered that $E(R(\alpha),\alpha)$ quantifies how crossing a solution is, and how far it is from the unique ground state solution.

5. Concluding remarks and examples

We end this article with some remarks and examples that illustrate our result.

First of all, we mention that Theorem 5.7 and Corollary 5.8 in [22] concerning the support of ground states, continue to hold in this case. That is, if $u(\cdot,\bar{\alpha})$ is a ground state, then $R(\bar{\alpha}) < \infty$ if and only if

$$\int_0 \frac{du}{\sqrt{|F(u)|}} < \infty.$$

Indeed, assumption (K_1) implies that all assumptions needed in [22] for the weights to prove this result are satisfied, and since these results are independent of the superlinear growth of f, they follow.

We end this section with some examples. A typical example of an equation of the form (1.5), is the Matukuma equation, namely

$$\Delta u + \frac{f(u)}{1+r^\sigma} = 0, \qquad n > 2, \qquad \sigma > 0, \tag{5.1}$$

Another example is the equation

$$\Delta u + \frac{r^\sigma}{(1+r^2)^{\sigma/2}}\cdot\frac{f(u)}{r^2} = 0, \qquad n > 2, \qquad \sigma > 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Equation (5.2) was first introduced in [1], as a model of stellar structure. As a main example, we consider the following equation which includes as special cases both (5.1) and (5.2):

$$\begin{gathered} \operatorname{div}(|x|^k|Du|^{p-2}Du) + |x|^\ell\left(\frac{|x|^s}{1+|x|^s}\right)^{\sigma/s} f(u) = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,\ N > 1, \\ k \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \ell \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad s > 0, \qquad \sigma > 0. \end{gathered} \tag{5.3}$$

Here

$$a(r) = r^{N+k-1}, \qquad b(r) = r^{N+\ell-1}\left(\frac{r^s}{1+r^s}\right)^{\sigma/s}.$$

We claim that $(w1)$–$(w2)$ are satisfied if

$$N + k > p \qquad \text{and} \qquad \ell \geq k - p. \tag{5.4}$$

Indeed, under the first in (5.4) we have that $a^{1-p'}(s) = s^{\frac{N+k-1}{1-p}}$ belongs to $L^1(1,\infty) \setminus L^1(0,1)$ and thus (w_1) is satisfied. In this case

$$h(r) = \int_r^\infty s^{\frac{N+k-1}{1-p}} ds = \frac{p-1}{N+k-p} r^{\frac{N+k-p}{1-p}}$$

Also, from the second in (5.4), we obtain that $N - 1 + \frac{k}{p} + \frac{\ell}{p'} \geq N + k - p$, and thus using the first in (5.4) we obtain that

$$\left[\frac{1}{p}\frac{a'}{a} + \frac{1}{p'}\frac{b'}{b}\right]\frac{h}{|h'|} = \left(N - 1 + \frac{k}{p} + \frac{\ell}{p'} + \frac{\sigma}{p'} \cdot \frac{1}{1+r^s}\right)\frac{p-1}{N+k-p}.$$

is decreasing as $\sigma > 0,\ s > 0$, and tends to

$$\left(N - 1 + \frac{k}{p} + \frac{\ell}{p'}\right)\frac{p-1}{N+k-p}$$

as $r \to \infty$. Since this quantity is greater than or equal to $p - 1$, we see that (w_2) is satisfied.

Finally we mention that our assumptions also cover weights of the form

$$K(r) = r^\theta \exp\Big(\frac{-|\log(r)|^2}{2}\Big) \text{ near } r = 0, \qquad K(r) = r^\theta \log^\alpha(1+r),$$

with $\theta \geq -p$ and $\alpha > 0$. Indeed, (K_1) is clearly verified since

$$p + \frac{rK'(r)}{K(r)} = p + \theta + |\log(r)| \quad \text{near } r = 0,$$

$$p + \frac{rK'(r)}{K(r)} = p + \theta + \alpha\frac{r}{(1+r)\log(1+r)}$$

respectively, are decreasing functions. It should be noted that in the first case,

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{rK'(r)}{K(r)} = \infty.$$

On the other hand, for the second example

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{rK'(r)}{K(r)} = \theta,$$

hence (K_1) is satisfied for $\theta \geq -p$ and $\alpha > 0$.

As for the nonlinearities f that we can cover, we mention the canonical example

$$f(u) = u^{q_1} - u^{q_2}, \quad \text{with } 0 < q_2 < p - 1 \leq q_1.$$

6. Appendix

Existence of $\frac{d}{d\alpha}u$ and $\frac{d}{d\alpha}r^{n-1}\phi_p(u')$. This section is devoted to the proof of Lemma 2.2. The proof of this lemma is very similar to the corresponding one given in [6], but since it involves delicate computations due to the degeneracy of the operator Δ_p when $p \neq 2$, (because $u'(0) = 0$), we give it in detail. Let $r \in [0, r^*]$, with $r^* < r_0(\alpha)$. In order to prove Lemma 2.2, we use the change of variables introduced in [22]: Set

$$t(r) := \int_0^r K^{1/p}(s)ds, \quad v(t) := u(r).$$

By assumption (K_1), $K^{1/p} \in L^1(0,1) \setminus L^1(1,\infty)$, thus $t(0) = 0$, $t(\infty) = \infty$, and $r^{n-1}K^{1/p'}(r)\phi_p(v_t(t)) = r^{n-1}\phi_p(u'(r))$, where from this point on we use the subscript $_t$ to denote the derivatives with respect to t. Hence, setting $q(t) := r^{n-1}(t)K^{1/p'}(r(t))$, we see that v satisfies (1.8), $v(0) = \alpha$, and $v_t(0) = 0$. Indeed, from assumption (K_1),

$$\frac{q_t(t)}{q(t)} = \frac{1}{p'}\Big(\frac{n-1}{p-1}p + \frac{r(t)K'(r(t))}{K(r(t))}\Big)\frac{1}{r(t)K^{1/p}(r(t))} > 0, \tag{6.1}$$

hence as $n > p$ we have that $q_t > 0$ in $(0,\infty)$. Thus, from the equation (1.8), using first that $\lim\limits_{t\to 0^+} q(t)\phi_p(v_t(t)) = \lim\limits_{r\to 0^+} r^{n-1}\phi_p(u'(r)) = 0$, we find that for any $t > 0$,

$$\phi_p(|v_t(t)|) = \frac{1}{q(t)}\int_0^t q(s)f(v(s))ds \le \frac{f(\alpha)}{q(t)}\int_0^t q(s)ds \tag{6.2}$$

and thus $\phi_p(|v_t(t)|) \le f(\alpha)t$, implying that $v_t(0) = 0$. Consequently, v satisfies the initial value problem

$$\begin{gathered} -(q(t)|v_t|^{p-2}v_t)_t = q(t)f(v), \quad t > 0, \\ v(0) = \alpha, \quad v_t(0) = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{6.3}$$

Also, for any $0 \le t \le t^* = t(r^*)$,

$$|v_t(t)| = \Big(\frac{1}{q(t)}\int_0^t q(s)f(v(s))ds\Big)^{1/(p-1)} \ge C^*\Big(\frac{1}{q(t)}\int_0^t q(s)ds\Big)^{1/(p-1)}, \tag{6.4}$$

where $C^* = (f(v(t^*)))^{1/(p-1)} > 0$.

Let $\{h_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be any sequence such that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} h_n = 0$, and let us set

$$\Theta_n(t,\alpha) := \frac{\phi_p(v_t(t,\alpha+h_n)) - \phi_p(v_t(t,\alpha))}{h_n}, \tag{6.5}$$

$$\psi_n(t,\alpha) := \frac{v_t(t,\alpha+h_n) - v_t(t,\alpha)}{h_n}, \tag{6.6}$$

$$\varphi_n(t,\alpha) := \frac{v(t,\alpha+h_n) - v(t,\alpha)}{h_n}. \tag{6.7}$$

Clearly,

$$-\Theta_n(t,\alpha) = \frac{1}{q(t)}\int_0^t q(s)\frac{f(v(s,\alpha+h_n)) - f(v(s,\alpha))}{v(s,\alpha+h_n) - v(s,\alpha)}\varphi_n(s,\alpha)ds \tag{6.8}$$

and

$$\varphi_n(t,\alpha) = 1 + \int_0^t \psi_n(s,\alpha)ds. \tag{6.9}$$

From the mean value theorem, we see that there is $\lambda \in [0,1]$ such that

$$|\Theta_n(t,\alpha)| = (p-1)(\lambda|v_t(t,\alpha+h_n)| + (1-\lambda)|v_t(t,\alpha)|)^{p-2}|\psi_n(t,\alpha)|,$$

hence, using (6.2) and (6.4), we conclude that for all $t \in (0,t^*]$,

$$C_1\Big(\frac{Q(t)}{q(t)}\Big)^{(p-2)/(p-1)}|\psi_n(t,\alpha)| \le |\Theta_n(t,\alpha)| \le C_2\Big(\frac{Q(t)}{q(t)}\Big)^{(p-2)/(p-1)}|\psi_n(t,\alpha)| \tag{6.10}$$

for some positive constants C_1, C_2, where we have set $Q(t) := \int_0^t q(s)ds$. Using now (f_2), (6.8), (6.9) and (6.10), we find that

$$|\psi_n(t,\alpha)| \leq C_3\Big(\frac{Q(t)}{q(t)}\Big)^{(2-p)/(p-1)}\frac{Q(t)}{q(t)}(1+\int_0^t |\psi_n(s,\alpha)|ds),$$

implying that

$$|\psi_n(t,\alpha)| \leq C_3 t^{p'-1}(1+\int_0^t |\psi_n(s,\alpha)|ds). \tag{6.11}$$

It follows now from Gronwall's lemma that $\psi_n(t,\alpha)$ is uniformly bounded in $[0,t^*]$, and thus from (6.8) and (6.9) also $\varphi_n(t,\alpha)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_n(t,\alpha)$, and $\Theta_n(t,\alpha)$ are uniformly bounded in $[0,t^*]$. Also, since

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta_n(t,\alpha) = {} & \frac{f(v(t,\alpha+h_n))-f(v(t,\alpha))}{v(t,\alpha+h_n)-v(t,\alpha)}\varphi_n(t,\alpha)\\ & -\frac{q_t(t)}{q^2(t)}\int_0^t q(s)\frac{f(v(s,\alpha+h_n))-f(v(s,\alpha))}{v(s,\alpha+h_n)-v(s,\alpha)}\varphi_n(s,\alpha)ds,\end{aligned}$$

we find that

$$\Big|\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta_n(t,\alpha)\ \Big| \leq C_4(1+\frac{tq_t(t)}{q(t)}).$$

From (6.1), we see that

$$\frac{tq_t(t)}{q(t)} = \frac{1}{p'}\Big(\frac{n-1}{p-1}p+\frac{r(t)K'(r(t))}{K(r(t))}\Big)\frac{\int_0^{r(t)}K^{1/p}(\tau)d\tau}{r(t)K^{1/p}(r(t))}.$$

Also, from (K_1) and the fact that $(p+rK'(r)/K(r))K^{1/p} = p(rK^{1/p})'$, we obtain that

$$prK^{1/p}(r) \geq \Big(p+\frac{rK'(r)}{K(r)}\Big)\int_0^r K^{1/p}(\tau)d\tau,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{tq_t(t)}{q(t)} & \leq \frac{p}{p'}\Big(\frac{n-1}{p-1}p+\frac{r(t)K'(r(t))}{K(r(t))}\Big)\Big(p+\frac{r(t)K'(r(t))}{K(r(t))}\Big)^{-1}\\ & \leq (n-p)p\Big(p+\frac{r(t)K'(r(t))}{K(r(t))}\Big)^{-1}+p-1.\end{aligned}$$

Since the last term in this inequality is increasing by (K_1), we conclude that tq_t/q is bounded in $[0,t^*]$.

Hence, from Arzela-Ascoli's Theorem, we conclude that $\varphi_n(\cdot,\alpha)$ and $\Theta_n(\cdot,\alpha)$ converge uniformly in $[0,t^*]$ (up to a subsequence) to continuous functions $\varphi(\cdot,\alpha)$ and $\Theta(\cdot,\alpha)$ respectively. Moreover, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, it holds that

$$-\Theta(t,\alpha) = \frac{1}{q(t)}\int_0^t q(s)f'(v(s,\alpha))\varphi(s,\alpha)ds.$$

On the other hand, using that

$$\Theta_n(t,\alpha) = \frac{\phi_p(v_t(t,\alpha+h_n))-\phi_p(v_t(t,\alpha))}{v_t(t,\alpha+h_n)-v_t(t,\alpha)}\psi_n(t,\alpha),$$

we deduce that for each $t \in (0, t^*]$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi_n(t,\alpha) = \frac{\Theta(t,\alpha)}{(p-1)|v_t(t,\alpha)|^{p-2}} := \psi(t,\alpha),$$

hence again by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we obtain that

$$\varphi(t,\alpha) = 1 + \int_0^t \psi(s,\alpha)ds. \tag{6.12}$$

We will see next that the solution to

$$\begin{aligned} -\Theta(t,\alpha) &= \frac{1}{q(t)}\int_0^t q(s)f'(v(s,\alpha))\varphi(s,\alpha)ds \\ \varphi(t,\alpha) &= 1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\int_0^t \Theta(s,\alpha)|v_t(s,\alpha)|^{2-p}ds, \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

is unique, and therefore the complete sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ converges to φ, and the complete sequences $\{\Theta_n\}$, $\{\psi_n\}$ converge to Θ and ψ respectively. Indeed, the only delicate case for the uniqueness is $p > 2$, so let us assume that $p > 2$ and that (6.13) has two solutions (φ_1, Θ_1) and (φ_2, Θ_2). Substracting the two corresponding equations we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} -(\Theta_1(t,\alpha) - \Theta_2(t,\alpha)) &= \frac{1}{q(t)}\int_0^t q(s)f'(v(s,\alpha))(\varphi_1(s,\alpha) - \varphi_2(s,\alpha))ds \\ \varphi_1(t,\alpha) - \varphi_2(t,\alpha) &= \frac{1}{p-1}\int_0^t (\Theta_1(s,\alpha) - \Theta_2(s,\alpha))|v_t(s,\alpha)|^{2-p}ds. \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Using (6.2) to bound $|v_t|$ and the fact that $Q(t) \le tq(t)$ for all $t > 0$, we find from the second equation in (6.14) that

$$|\varphi_1(t,\alpha) - \varphi_2(t,\alpha)| \le C_1 \int_0^t |\Theta_1(s,\alpha) - \Theta_2(s,\alpha)| s^{(2-p)/(p-1)} ds, \tag{6.15}$$

for some positive constant C_1. Also, from the first equation in (6.14) we see that

$$|\Theta_1(s,\alpha) - \Theta_2(s,\alpha)| \le C_2 ||\varphi_1(\cdot,\alpha) - \varphi_2(\cdot,\alpha)||_{[0,t]} s,$$

for some positive constant C_2, where we have denoted

$$||\varphi_1(\cdot,\alpha) - \varphi_2(\cdot,\alpha)||_{[0,t]} = \sup_{s\in[0,t]} |\varphi_1(s,\alpha) - \varphi_2(s,\alpha)|,$$

and therefore, replacing into (6.15) we obtain

$$|\varphi_1(t,\alpha) - \varphi_2(t,\alpha)| \le C_3 ||\varphi_1(\cdot,\alpha) - \varphi_2(\cdot,\alpha)||_{[0,t]} t^{p'}, \tag{6.16}$$

for some positive constant C_3. We conclude then that if t is small enough, for example $t \in [0, \bar{t}]$, with $C_3 \bar{t}^{p/(p-1)} \le 1/2$, then $\varphi_1 \equiv \varphi_2$ in $[0, \bar{t}]$. The fact that $\varphi_1 \equiv \varphi_2$ in $[0, t^*]$ follows from the standard theory of ordinary differential equations, so we omit it.

Since these arguments apply to every sequence $\{h_n\} \to 0$, it follows that v and $\phi_p(v_t)$ are differentiable with respect to α for every $t \in [0, t^*]$ and their derivatives are respectively given by φ and Θ. Also, v_t is differentiable with respect to α for $t \in (0, t^*]$ and its derivative is given by ψ.

The rest of the proof is exactly the same as in [6]: in order to continuously extend the functions φ, Θ and ψ to $\underline{\mathcal{O}}$, we replace the function f in (6.3) by $\hat{f}$ in such a way that $f \equiv \hat{f}$ in $[u_0, \infty)$ and $\hat{f}$ is Lipschitz continuous on $[0, \infty)$. We can repeat the arguments used above in an interval $[\delta, T]$ containing $t_0 = t(r_0(\alpha))$, with $\delta > 0$, so that we do not need the estimate (6.4) used to bound from below $v_t(t)$ near $t = 0$. The solution $\hat{v}$ of the new problem satisfies $\hat{v} \equiv v$ in $[\delta, t_0)$.

The lemma follows by returning to the original variable r: u and $K^{-1/p'}\phi_p(u')$ are differentiable with respect to α in $[0, r_0)$, and these derivatives can be continuously extended to $[0, r_0]$; $u'(r, \alpha)$ is differentiable with respect to α in $(0, r_0)$ and

$$\frac{\partial u'}{\partial \alpha}(r, \alpha) = \psi(r, \alpha) K^{1/p}(r)$$

can be continuously extended to $[0, r_0]$. Then, from (6.12) we conclude that

$$\varphi(r, \alpha) = 1 + \int_0^r \frac{\partial u'}{\partial \alpha}(\rho, \alpha) d\rho,$$

and hence, $\phi'(r, \alpha) = (\partial u'/\partial \alpha)(r, \alpha)$ for $r > 0$. Thus we obtain that

$$r^{n-1}|u'(r, \alpha)|^{p-2}\varphi'(r, \alpha) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}(r^{n-1}\phi_p(u'(r, \alpha)))$$

and, using (6.13), that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}(r^{n-1}\phi_p(u'(r, \alpha))) = -\int_0^r \rho^{n-1} K(\rho) f'(u(\rho, \alpha))\varphi(\rho, \alpha) d\rho$$

for $r > 0$, and thus $\lim\limits_{r \to 0^+} r^{n-1}|u'(r)|^{p-2}\varphi'(r, \alpha) = 0$ and φ satisfies (2.7) in $(0, r_0]$.

Finally, from (6.13), it can be seen that φ satisfies

$$\varphi(r, \alpha) - 1 = \frac{1}{p-1}\int_0^r u'(\rho, \alpha) \left(\frac{\int_0^\rho \tau^{n-1} K(\tau) f'(u(\tau, \alpha))\varphi(\tau, \alpha) d\tau}{\rho^{n-1}|u'(\rho, \alpha)|^{p-1}} \right) d\rho,$$

and thus, after some computations involving L'Hôpital's rule, we obtain that φ is continuously differentiable with respect to r at $r = 0$, and

$$\varphi'(0) = 0 = \frac{\partial u'}{\partial \alpha}(0, \alpha).$$

References

[1] J. Batt, W. Faltenbacher and E. Horst, Stationary spherically symmetric models in stellar dynamics, *Arch. Rational Mech. Analysis*, **93** (1986), 159-183.

[2] Berestycki, H., Lions, P. L.: Non linear scalar fields equations I, Existence of a ground state. *Archive Rat. Mech. Anal.* **82** (1983), 313-345.

[3] Chen, C.C., Lin, C.S.: Uniqueness of the ground state solutions of $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 3$, *Comm. in Partial Differential Equations*, **16** (1991), 1549-1572.

[4] Coffman, C. V.: Uniqueness of the ground state solution of $\Delta u - u + u^3 = 0$ and a variational characterization of other solutions, *Archive Rat. Mech. Anal.* **46** (1972), 81-95.

[5] Cortázar, C., Felmer, P., Elgueta, M.: On a semilinear elliptic problem in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with a non Lipschitzian nonlinearity, *Advances in Differential Equations,* **1** (1996), 199-218.

[6] Cortázar, C., Felmer, P., Elgueta, M.: Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 3$, *Archive Rat. Mech. Anal.* **142** (1998), 127-141.

[7] Cortázar, C., García-Huidobro, M.: On the uniqueness of ground state solutions of a semilinear equation containing a weighted Laplacian, to appear in *Comm. on Pure and Applied Anal.*

[8] Erbe, L., Tang, M.: Uniqueness theorems for positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations in a ball, *J. Diff. Equations*, **138** (1997), 351-379.

[9] Franchi, B., Lanconelli, E., Serrin, J.: Existence and Uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of quasilinear equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Advances in mathematics,* **118** (1996), 177-243.

[10] García-Huidobro, M., Kufner, A., Manásevich, R., and Yarur, C.: Radial solutions for a quasilinear equation via Hardy inequalities, *Advances in Diff. Equations*, **6** (2001), 1517-1540.

[11] García-Huidobro, M., Manásevich, R., and Yarur, C.: On the Structure of solutions to a quasilinear equation with a weighted p-Laplacian, Submitted.

[12] Kawano T., Yanagida E. , Yotsutani S., Structure theorems for positive radial solutions to $\Delta u + K(|x|)u^p = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Funkcial. Ekvak* **36** (1993) 121-145.

[13] Kwong, M. K.: Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$, *Archive Rat. Mech. Anal.* **105** (1989), 243-266.

[14] Li Y., Ni Wei-M., On the esistence and symmetry properties of finite total mass solutions of the Matukuma equation, the Eddington equation and their generalizations, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal*, **108** (1989), 175-194.

[15] Li Y., Ni Wei-M., On the asymptotic behavior and radial symmetry of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations I, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal*, **118** (1992), 195-222.

[16] Li Y., Ni Wei-M., On the asymptotic behavior and radial symmetry of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations II, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal*, **118** (1992), 223-243.

[17] Matukuma, T.: The cosmos, *Iwanami Shoten*, Tokyo, 1938.

[18] McLeod, K.: Uniqueness of positive radial solutions of $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **339** (1993), 495-505.

[19] McLeod, K., Serrin, J.: Uniqueness of positive radial solutions of $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **99** (1987), 115-145.

[20] Peletier, L., Serrin, J.: Uniqueness of positive solutions of quasilinear equations, *Archive Rat. Mech. Anal.* **81** (1983), 181-197.

[21] Peletier, L., Serrin, J.: Uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of quasilinear equations, *J. Diff. Equat.* **61** (1986), 380-397.

[22] Pucci, P., García-Huidobro, M., Manásevich, R., Serrin, J.: Qualitative properties of ground states for singular elliptic equations with weights, to appear in *Annali Mat. Pura Appl.*

[23] Pucci, P., R., Serrin, J.: Uniqueness of ground states for quasilinear elliptic operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.,* **47** (1998), 529-539.

[24] Serrin, J., and Tang, M.: Uniqueness of ground states for quasilinear elliptic equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **49** (2000), 897-923

[25] Tso, K.: On symmetrization and Hessian operators, *J. d'Anal. Math.* **52** (1989), 94-106.

[26] Yanagida, E.: Uniqueness of positive radial solutions of $\Delta u + g(r)u + h(r)u^p = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Archive Rat. Mech. Anal.* **115** (1991), 257-274.

[27] Yanagida E., Yotsutani S., Existence of positive radial solutions to $\Delta u + K(|x|)u^p = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Journal of Differential Equations*, **115** (1995) 477-502.

[28] Yanagida E., Yotsutani S., Global structure of positive solutions to equations of Makutuma type, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal*, **134** (1996) 199-226.

E-mail address: `mgarcia@mat.puc.cl`
E-mail address: `dahenao@puc.cl`